

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/787,068	02/25/2004	Bernd Hackmann	2322.69836 9522		
24978	7590 04/07/2006	•	EXAMINER		
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN			NGO, LIEN M		
300 S WAC 25TH FLOO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,		3754			
	•		DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006	DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/787,068	HACKMANN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	LIEN TM NGO	3754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror te, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19.	January 2006.				
•	<u> </u>				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the sheet	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica fority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _

6) Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/787,068 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claims 1 and 21, "fins having a generally rectangular, washer-shaped crosssection" is not support in the specification.

In claim 8, "fins having a generally rectangular cross-section" is not support in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Middleton et al. (4,251,002). Middleton discloses, in fig, 5, a closure cap comprising a

closed wall section 8, two radially projecting, elastic fins having a generally rectangular, washed cross-section.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poore et al. (4,782,964) in view of Middleton et al.. Poore et al. disclose, in fig. 1, a closure cap for a container comprising a closed wall section 29 having a fin sealing device with two fins 34, 35, a cap body 33, a hinged lid 11, a discharge aperture 15, and a second closed wall section 33 having a thread 26, and a cone-shaped plug (see the free end of the plug 18). The closure cap is made of plastics by molding (see col. 4, lines 5-7 and 20-27).

Poore et al. do not disclose the fins being elastic and having a generally rectangular, washed cross-section.

Middleton et al. teach fins being elastic and having a generally rectangular, washed cross-section.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the fins in the invention of Poore et al. with fins being elastic and having a generally rectangular, washed cross-section, as taught Middleton et al., in order to enhance the sealing of the closure cap.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,068 Page 4

Art Unit: 3754

6. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neiger (5,853,093) in view of Middleton et al..

Neiger discloses, in figs. 2-4, a closure cap for a container comprising a closed wall section 62 having a fin sealing device with two fins, a cap body 22, a hinged lid 24, a discharge aperture (see fig. 2), and a second closed wall section 30 having a thread. The closure cap is made of plastics by molding.

Neiger does not disclose the fins being elastic and having a generally rectangular, washed cross-section.

Middleton et al. teach fins being elastic and having a generally rectangular, washed cross-section.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the fins in the invention of Neiger with fins being elastic and having a generally rectangular, washed cross-section, as taught Middleton et al., in order to enhance the sealing of the closure cap.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/787,068

Art Unit: 3754

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 571-272-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM -6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MICHAEL MAR can be reached on 571-272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3754

LIEN TM NGO Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

March 31, 2006